

Appendix 1 Summary of consultation responses

Question		Response		Comments
		Yes	No	
Q1	Do you agree with the proposed North Yorkshire Council Co-ordinated Admission Arrangements for 2027/2028?	16	6	<p>The new home to school travel policy has caused a huge amount of upset in many schools. I would strongly recommend that the admissions team and the travel team work together to reestablish the boundaries for schools. This would make the process fairer for schools in terms of future planning on numbers, fairer for parents and students as they would know which their catchment school would be. There are a number of families who have been left with no options of a school due to the new policy. If you keep the policy as it is the nearest school should be the catchment school.</p> <p>Maybe I have misunderstood the changes, but why are children classed as from "deprived" backgrounds more entitled to a space at a school than those who live in the local area?</p> <p>I absolutely don't think it's right to bring in changes that fundamentally come in to effect the immediate following school year. It gives parents no opportunity to assess fully their options and make any decisions they need to make to ensure the best outcome for their children.</p> <p>Yes I do, my child at grove road has struggled with the number of mixed classes she has been in throughout and teaching is not effective</p>
Q2	Do you agree with the proposed North Yorkshire Council In Year Co-ordinated Admission for 2027/2028?	17	5	<p>In areas such as Selby Town, where there is currently a significant surplus of primary places (over 600 across 10 schools), the coordination system should work closely with headteachers to support balanced admissions that avoid further destabilising schools with already low pupil numbers. Where multiple schools have vacancies, placements should aim to ensure a fair distribution of pupils and prevent the concentration of surplus places in particular settings.</p>
Q3	Do you agree with the proposed Admissions Policy for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools for 2027/2028?	16	7	<p>Yes, I agree with the proposed Admissions Policy for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools for 2027–2028, but would encourage the local authority to ensure that the application of this policy reflects local demographic realities and supports the long-term sustainability of schools.</p>
Q4	Do you agree with the proposed Nursery Admissions Policy for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools for 2027/2028?	17	5	<p>No comments were received</p>

Appendix 1 Summary of consultation responses

Question		Response		Comments
		Yes	No	
Q5	Do you agree with the proposed Published Admission Numbers (PANs) for Community and Voluntary Controlled Primary Schools for 2027/2028?	18	4	As mentioned previously: broadly agree with the proposed Published Admission Numbers (PANs) for Community and Voluntary Controlled Primary Schools for 2027–2028, but believe a more consistent and strategic approach is needed across Selby Town and the surrounding area to ensure that PANs accurately reflect current and projected pupil numbers. The surplus of primary school places in Selby currently exceeds 600 across 10 schools. This level of overcapacity presents a significant challenge for the financial and organisational sustainability of schools, as well as for the efficient use of public funds. It also risks unbalanced class structures, particularly where PANs remain higher than required.
Q6	Do you agree with the proposed Published Admission Numbers (PANs) for Community and Voluntary Controlled Secondary Schools for 2027/2028	17	4	No comments were received
	Additional comments			<p>Local children should come first when it comes to school places. It's hard enough to fit the school day with working sending children out of area would make it much harder</p> <p>More SEND places available in the area</p> <p>Admissions and travel need to have a coordinated approach to remove the massive upset caused to schools and families.</p>